

Copy made
11/5/14

Indexed

Excise.]

SECTION 50

FILE No. 859 1895-6

SERIAL No. 1

(56)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8999
Ex. 534
JUN. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Subd to Sec

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

The facts of this case are very confusing.
A draft is subd for appl
Ld
30/7/96

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 29th May
Despatched 2nd June } 1896
Received

General No. 4879
No. 5487

Enclosure No. 2

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT

Submits for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller a Supplemental Pay Bill for Rs 233-5-4 for adjustment of the equivalent sum drawn as an advance by the temporary Excise Clerk employed by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District before a permanent hand on Rs 15 was given him.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev: Sep
3/6/96

Subd vide letter
Note Bk

Excise Commissioner's Office

No: 4879 Enc: 2 / 548

Bangalore, 29th May 1896. / 2nd June

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue
Department.

Sir,

In submitting, herewith, copy of the marginally noted correspondence, I have the honor to state that for the temporary Excise Clerk whom the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, had entertained on Rs 20/- for his office, Rs 233-5-4 were drawn and disbursed up to the end of June 1894, as per particulars below:-

1. Letter No: 261, dated 14th March 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
2. Docket No: 372, dated 15th June 1894, from ditto.
3. Docket No: 1/1, dated 1st July 1894, to ditto.
4. Letter No: 172, dated 16th December 1894, from ditto.
5. Docket No: 2772/127, dated 12th December 1894, to ditto.
6. Letter No: 204/21, dated 1st January 1895, from ditto with copies of its enclosures.
7. Docket No: 3188/149, dated 24th January 1895, to ditto.
8. do No: 4310, dated 12th February 1896, from the Comptroller.
9. Memo No: 3285/174, dated 17th February 1896, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
10. Letter No: 299, dated 10th March 1896, from ditto.
11. Docket No: 3676/195, dated the 13th March 1896, to ditto.
12. Letter No: 381, dated the 27th May 1896, from ditto.

	Rs	A	P
Pay for June 1893	20	0	0
Do from July to December 1893	120	0	0
Do January & February 1894	40	0	0
Do from 11th April to 30th June 1894	53	5	4
Total	233	5	4

2. A permanent hand was sanc-
-tioned for his office on Rs 15/- in Government
Proceedings Nos: 550-1 Cantt dated the 21st June
1894. This fact was communicated to the
Deputy Commissioner on 1st July 1894; and
a hand on Rs 15/- was sent to his office on
the same day from the Excise Commissioner's
office, the Deputy Commissioner having been,
at the same time, requested to dispense with
the aforesaid temporary hand. But the
Deputy Commissioner denied officially re-
-quested the late Excise Commissioner Mr
Ricketts to permit him to entertain the said
temporary hand on Rs 15/- and returned
to the Excise Commissioner's office the Clerk
whom Mr Ricketts had sent him. Mr Ricketts
accordingly complied with his request. All
this took place on 1st July 1894, from which
date the Deputy Commissioner should have
disbursed pay to the clerk only, at the rate
of Rs 15/- per mensem. But the clerk was,
without authority, allowed to draw
his pay at the former temporary rate of
Rs 20/-, from July 1894 to the end of November
1894; so that he has drawn altogether Rs 25/-
for five months in excess of the sanctioned
scale. I have ordered that this amount
should be refunded by the clerk concerned.

3. The sanction accorded by
Government in Proceedings Nos: +2165-6
R. 368-92 dated

dated the 10th/13 August 1892, and 3854-5/R.F.117-92
dated the 26th/28 August 1893, to the employment
of the said temporary hand, was only
for nine months. But until a permanent
hand on Rs 15/- was sanctioned, he was
continued by the Deputy Commissioner
without authority. The Comptroller has
therefore taken objection to pass the above
two amounts, viz Rs 233-5-4 and Rs 25/-.
I therefore herewith submit a Supple-
-mental Pay Bill for Rs 233-5-4, with a
request that it may be sanctioned and
forwarded to the Comptroller for audit
and adjustment of the equivalent sum
already drawn by the above mentioned
clerk. In regard the other item of Rs 25/-,
I cannot support the Deputy Commissioners
recommendation to forego it, since it was
drawn by the clerk in excess of the sanctioned
scale, even after the fact that the pay sanc-
-tioned for him was only Rs 15/-, was com-
-municated to the Deputy Commissioner. In
my opinion the sum of Rs 25/- should be
recovered from the Official concerned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
M Murray
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1894

From,

Inclosure No 14

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 14th March 1894.

No: 261.

Sir,

Referring to your official Memorandum No: 799/63 of the 30th August last intimating to me the sanction of Government to the continuance for a period of 6 months from the beginning of the current Official year, the temporary hand given by Proceedings No: 2165-6 & R. 368-92 of 10th August 1892, until you should be able to send me two hands from your office, I have the honor to report that the Comptroller has refused to pass the bill for Rs 80 being the pay of the said clerk from July to October last,

last, on the misconception that because you were good enough to obtain Government sanction to give retrospective effect to Government Proceedings No. 13672-81 / 1207-92 of 16th February 1893, the temporary hand ceased to work since then. I have pointed out to him that his conjecture is not correct. Vide my letter No: 243 of 1st March 1894.

I beg here to request your attention once again, to my letter No: 254 of the 5th June last, bringing to your notice the absolute necessity for the retention of the temporary hand. The amount of work in this District is too heavy for 3 men and even for 4 it is so heavy that the Excise establishment of my Office is always worked at high pressure whatever may be the establishment sanctioned for other Districts the amount of work, I submit, ought to regulate the strength needed in a given District. My zone is in area a larger District than

than Bangalore, but I would beg you to consider the following comparative statement:-

	Arrack shops	Revenue	Toddy shops	Revenue
Bangalore (including C&M Stations)	276	8,44,233	344	206,129.
Mysore	68.	1,67,050	920	4,36,912.

Toddy work in Mysore is heavier than in Bangalore but the French Rocks Sub-Division has a separate Toddy Establishment. Arrack transactions in Bangalore are five times as heavy as in Mysore I assure you I am not exaggerating the fact in the least, when I say that without the fourth hand it will be hardly possible to get through the work. I beg therefore that you will kindly obtain the sanction of the Government to the retention of the man. Pending sanction he has been retained.

I have &c

(Signed) Sir Sluijetale

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

From

1893-94

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 15th/16 June 1894.

No: 372.

This Office No 261, dated 14th March 1894, requesting him to obtain Government sanction for the permanent retention of the arrack Clerk on Rs 20/-.

Has the honor to request that you will be good enough to remind the Government of the above.

(Signed) M. Annajee Rao.

For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

1894.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

To,

99

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 1st July 1894.

No: 1/1.

The Deputy Commissioner's Nos. a 254, dated 8th June 1893, and 372, dated 15th/16th June 1894.

The sanction of Government having been received in Proceedings No 550-1, dated 26th June 1894, the undersigned has the honor to send herewith a clerk on Rs 15/- named Venkataramaiah, and to request that the temporary hand may be dispensed with.

(Signed) L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

To 10

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 10th December 1894.

No: 172.

Sir,

With reference to your No: —/—, dated 2nd July 1894, I have the honor to request you will be kind enough to furnish me with a copy of Government Proceedings No: 550—1, dated 26th June 1894, sanctioning the appointment of an additional clerk on Rs 15/- to the Excise Department of this District.

I have &c

(Signed) K. Chandy.
For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated

Dated 12th/13 December 1894.

No: 2779/127 Enclos: 1.

The Deputy Commissioner's No 172, dated 10th instant.

In reply has the honor to forward, herewith, an extract of Government Proceedings No: 550-1 camp, dated 26th June 1894, sanctioning an additional clerk on Rs 15/- for the Bangalore District.

(signed) L. Rickett
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

No: 204/24

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
21st January 1895.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of Comptrollers docket

docket No: 3720, dated 21st December 1894 in which he takes objection to Rs 293-5-4 paid to a temporary clerk on Rs 20 for his salary upto end of October 1894. I also enclose copy of my answer to him from which you will find that he has authority to pass the pay at Rs 20/- upto end of December 1893 and at Rs 15/- from 1st July 1894. The objection that can stand is to pay at Rs 20/- for June 1893 and from 1st January to 30th June 1894 and to extra pay of Rs 5/- from 1st July to 30th November 1894, the pay for November 1894 also having been drawn at Rs 20/- for the reasons which I shall explain below, I request you to obtain the sanction of the Government to the passing of the above amount.

2. As I explained in my letter No: 254, dated 8th June 1893 to you, copy of which I enclose

for

for ready reference, the Government have all along recognised the necessity for this extra hand and sanctioned him temporarily to enable you to send me a hand from the permanent establishment in his place. In the concluding paragraph of that letter of mine, I requested you to obtain the formal sanction of the Government to his retention till you gave me one more hand. The Government sanctioned his retention again temporarily, expecting as is evident from their request to you, to give effect to a former order of theirs that you would, within the time then allowed, be able to give me a permanent hand. This hand was not sent, and therefore I wrote to you in No: 261, dated 14th March 1894 (copy enclosed) requesting you to obtain the sanction of the Government to the retention of the temporary hand and informing

You

you of his continuance pending
sanction. I believe that it was in
reference to this letter that the Govern-
-ment issued their Proceedings No
550-1, dated 26th June 1894, sanctioning
a clerk on Rs 15/-. The whole of the
Proceedings has not been communi-
-cated to me; but I believe that this
order implies sanction to continuance
of the temporary hand without
break. As I understand from
a Demi official letter of yours,
you kindly supported my proposal
as made and neither yourself nor
the Government objected to the
continuance of the temporary hand
pending orders, which I reported
in my letter last quoted. The man
was therefore kept in office all
through. As the Government
have all along recognised the
necessity for an extra hand and
have finally sanctioned it perma-
-nently, there can be, I trust, no
difficulty in obtaining their formal
sanction if such is needed.

3 The only question about the pay after sanction of a permanent man on Rs 15/- This order was passed on the 26th June 1894. In your docket No: 1, dated 1st July 1894, you simply stated "The Sanction of Government having been received in Proceedings No: 550-1, the undersigned has the honor to send herewith a clerk on Rs 15/- named Venkatramiah and to request that the temporary hand may be dispensed with;" but did not send me a copy of, or extract from the Proceedings I had thus no means of knowing what the real nature of the sanction was, and as I had understood from your Demi Official letter that my proposal had been supported by you as it stood, I inferred that the man you sent was to be appointed to the 20 Rs- place I had asked for. Upon a Demi Official representation by

16 16

by me. Venkatramiah was withdrawn by you, and the temporary hand continued and drew pay at the former rate. Upon a requisition by me, you were pleased to send me an extract from the Proceedings on the 12th December 1894, and for that month the pay has been drawn at Rs 15/-. It would now be hard to require the clerk to refund the excess, which, in the belief that it was his due, he must have spent.

Thine &c

(Signed) N. Narsimiengar
For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

From,

The Comptroller
in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 21st/23 December 1894

No: 3720.

With

Ledger	Month	Nature of advance	Amount
610	July 1894	Amount of advances to temporary clerk of Excise Office	233-5-4
625	August 1894	do	20-0-0
577	September 1894	do	20-0-0
513	October 1894	do	20-0-0

With reference to the marginally noted items drawn by him as advances on account of pay of the Temporary clerk, Excise Office, has the honor to request that the sanction of the Government may be obtained and furnished at an early date to admit the charge, and that no such advance should be drawn for the future until it is sanctioned by Government.

The only advances that are authorised will be found detailed in article 137, Account Code, Chapter 8, and the one now drawn by the Deputy Commissioner does not fall under any of the classes therein referred to.

(Signed) E. R. Labrayer

Comptroller

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To.

To, 18 18

The Comptroller
in Mysore

Dated 21st January 1895.

No: 205.

Sir,

In reference to your docket no 3720, dated 21st December 1894, I have the honor to refer you to Proceedings of the Government nos: 2165-6 / R. 368-92, and 3854-5 / F. 117-92, dated 10th / 13th August 1892 and 26th / 28th August 1893 respectively, Copies of which are herein enclosed for ready reference, and to my letter to you no: 243, dated 1st March 1894.

2. The entertainment of a clerk on Rs 20/- for 3 months was sanctioned in the first of the Proceedings of Government quoted above. He was kept on after the 3 months and his continuance for 6 months from the beginning of 1893-94 (1st July 1893) was sanctioned in the second Proceedings of Government. Thus you have authority to pass his pay up to end of

of December 1893 - Rs 120 (pay from July to December 1893). Of Rs 233-5-4 drawn in July 1894 to which as a whole you now take objection is covered by the above authority and I request you to remove that amount from the objection

3. As said in my letter to you quoted above, the clerk has been kept on in 1894 also. The Government in their Proceedings No: 550-1, dated 26th June 1894, copy enclosed, sanctioned the hand permanently but reduced the pay to Rs 15/-. This order was not communicated to me till December last. His pay upto November 1894 at Rs 20/- a month has been drawn The Proceedings of Government quoted in this paragraph sanction a hand on Rs 15/. From the extract communicated to me the sanction may seem to apply prospectively in which case you have in it authority to pass the pay at Rs 15/

a

a month from May 1st July 1894.

4. Thus, what is really to stand under objection is the clerk's pay at Rs 20/- a month for June 1893 and from 1st January to 30th June 1894 and extra pay of Rs 5/- a month from 1st July to 30th November 1894. The sanction of the Government to the passing of this amount will now be applied for.

I have &c

(signed) Nur Shujet Ali
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 8th June 1893.

No: 254.

Sir,

Sir

In reference to Proceedings of Government No: 2165-6 / R.368-92 dated 10th August 1892 which sanctioned the entertainment of a clerk temporarily for 3 months on Rs 20/- pending the transfer of two hands from the Excise Assistant Commissioner's office, I have the honor to report that the clerk was entertained on the 19th July 1892. So the three months mentioned in the above order expired on the 18th October 1892. The clerk has however continued in office, as though the order limited the temporary employment to 3 months, it was on the supposition that within that time two clerks could be sent to me from the Excise Assistant Commissioner's Office, and the order contemplates the continuance of the office till the promised assistance is given. I have allowed the clerk to continue because

because the order impliedly as explained above, sanctions it, and as I could not possibly get on with the work without him.

2. You have kindly sent me a clerk recently, vide your letter No: 6107-18/216, dated 20th May 1892; the second of the two clerks whose transfer, I asked for, in my letter No: 110; dated 30th June 1892, (This is a small docket not among the papers sent), you were kind enough, as is apparent from the Government order quoted above, to get the Government to approve; has not been sent yet.

3. I have now in the Toddy Department a clerk on Rs 15/- to prepare Treasury accounts, another on Rs 20/- to attend to correspondence and in the Arrack Department the 25 Rs man whom you have recently sent

Asst me and the temporary hand on Rs 20/-. With this establishment I can get on with the work fairly well. With one hand less it would be simply impossible to cope with the very heavy work in the Excise Department which is every month getting heavier. That the impossibility of getting through the work promptly and satisfactorily is not imaginary but real, will become apparent to you when I take the liberty to draw your attention to the repeated reminders you have all along had to send me for returns and reports, when I had fewer than 4 clerks. The delay was not due to inefficiency and laziness on the part of the clerks I assure you that I have always had the ablest and the most hard working men that I would get for the Salaries allowed.

To

To enable you to form an idea
of the amount of work to be turned
out I give below a short account
of it. Correspondence with the Excise
Commissioner, 9 Amildars, Head
and Assistant Supervisors and
the Toddy Daroga, which is, as I
have said above increasing every
month. Chellans for arrack purchased
from the Distillery come in daily
and average about 55 a day.
they have to be checked with the
Treasury accounts and posted
up in a Register, fortnightly
Demand, Collection Balance returns
Toddy Revenue are received from
the Talaks. There are Farms and
Vanams separately sold in the
District excluding the Bangalore
Taluk. These Returns have to be
similarly checked and posted
in a Register. The checking
of these Returns includes the
calculation

calculation of interest on various small amounts due by numerous defaulters. So many as 12 Toddy Inspectors and 12 Officials in the Arrack Department and also the Toddy Daroga send their diaries they have to be checked. There are, then, my own monthly and quarterly Returns - Reminders to Amildars to get their returns in time and orders to Amildars to secure prompt collection require constant attention of one. In addition to this, there is this year the Amani management of the Bangalore Farm.

4. I request therefore that you may be pleased to get the sanction of the Government to the pay of the temporary clerk up to date and to his retention till such time as you can spare another man from the Excise Assistants' Office.

I have &c

(Signed) Nirshujet Ali
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

From 26

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To, The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 24th/25 January 1895.

No: 3188/149

The Deputy Commissioner's No.
204/24, dated 21st instant.

In reply has the honor to observe that as distinctly stated in this office docket No: 1/1, dated 1st July 1894, the temporary hand should have been dispensed with as soon as that docket was received. The Government had sanctioned the temporary hand only till a hand on Rs 15/- could be sent from the Excise Commissioner's Office. From the date on which the undersigned permitted the Deputy Commissioner by a dense

Official

Official letter to entertain his own man on Rs 15/-, the Official should have been paid only Rs 15/- per month, but not at Rs 20/-. The Proceedings in question related to the revised establishment sanctioned for the Excise Commissioner's Office, and therefore, it was not communicated to the Deputy Commissioner. In the same Proceedings the additional hand on Rs 15/- was also sanctioned for the Deputy Commissioners Office. This fact was duly communicated to the Deputy Commissioner, and he was also requested to dispense with the temporary hand, in his Office No: 1/1, dated 1st July 1894, from which date the Official was entitled to draw only Rs 15/-. The excess payment at Rs 5/- per mensem should accordingly be refunded by the Official.

2. The sanction accorded by

28-28

by Government to the temporary hand having extended only to 31st December 1893, the Comptroller cannot pass the pay of the Official from 1st January 1894 to 30th June 1894. Requests therefore that a supplemental bill for the pay for this period may be prepared and submitted for transmission to Government for sanction. It is not clear why the pay for June 1893 was not passed. If the Government sanction did not extend to that month also, the pay for it may also be included in the supplemental bill now called for.

(Signed) L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

From,

The Comptroller
Mysore

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated

Dated 12th/15 February 1896.

No 4310.

Has the honor to state that marginally noted advances have been drawn by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore on account of pay of temporary Excise Clerk maintained in his Office and the Deputy Commissioner on a call for adjustment of the amount replied in his statement showing the adjustment of advances sent with his Docket No: 1968, dated 10th January 1896 that the amount should be adjusted by the Excise department.

Requests that he will be so good as to cause the said advance to be adjusted as early as practicable.

(Signed) E. R. Lubbock

Comptroller

Mysore

The

R. B. Pte
Pay of Mandal
Tax Collector
Transmitting
Rs. 15.

Month	Voucher No:	Particulars of Advance	Amount
July 1894	610	Pay of Temporary Excise Clerk	233—5—4
August 1894	625	Do	20—0—0
September 1894	577	Do	20—0—0
October 1894	513	Do	20—0—0
November 1894	642	Do	20—0—0
December 1894	626	Do	20—0—0
		Total Rs.	333—5—4

The Comptroller's docket No 4310, dated 12th/15 instant, regarding the pay of the temporary Excise clerk entertained.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 17th/19 February 1896

No: 3285/174

Official Memorandum.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for early report with reference to this Office docket No: 3188/149 dated 24th January 1895.

(Signed) L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Deputy.

No: a 299
Encl: 1.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
Dated 10th March 1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No: 3285/174, dated 17th February 96 forwarding for report Comptrollers docket No: 4310 dated 12th February 96 regarding the adjustment of advances drawn for the pay of the Excise clerk employed in the District office. I have the honor to state that the total amount placed under objection by the Comptroller is Rupees 333-5-4 Out of this sum however a sum of Rupees + 195/ has to be deducted as we have for it the marginally noted Sanctions of Government. The balance of Rs 138-5-4 has

× 1st July 93 to 31st March 94 } 120
Rs 20 }
1st April 95 to Decr 75/195

Govt: Pros: Camp No: 550-1, dated 26/6/94
No: 3854-5/2-F.117-92, dated 26/28-8-93

has to be adjusted. As called for in your No: 3188/149, dated 24th/25 January/95 I forward herewith a Supplemental pay Bill for Rupees 113-5-4 being the pay due to the Excise clerk for June/93 January and February/94, and from 11th April to 30th June/94. The place was vacant from 1st March to 10th April/94 and the pay due for that period has therefore been excluded from the amount in the Supplemental Bill. I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for passing the Supplemental Bill.

2. I also request that the sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to pay the Excise clerk at the rate of Rs 20/- for five months from July to November/94; Government in Proceedings camp No: 550-1, dated 26th June/94 has sanctioned a pay of Rs 15/- per month. As pointed out in my letter No: 204/24, dated 21st January 1895, the

the above order of Government was not communicated to this Office in its entirety and the pay of the clerk was continued to be drawn as before at the rate of Rs 20/- and from 1st December 94 when it became clear that the pay sanctioned was only Rs 15/- it was continued to be drawn at that rate. It will be a great hardship to the Excise clerk to be asked at this distance of time to refund the excess amount of Rs 25. I therefore beg that Government may be pleased to authorize the Comptroller to pass this amount also.

I have &c

(Signed) M. Shamrow

For Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

From,

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To,

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Dated

Dated 16th March 1896.

No: 3676/195.

The Deputy Commissioners —

No: 299, dated 10th March 1896.

In reply has the honor to point out that no explanation is given as to why the pay for June 1893 was not drawn before for the temporary Excise clerk in question.

2. Requests information on the following points:-

(1) How is the sum of Rs 195/- covered by Government Proceedings Nos. 550-1, dated 26th June 1894, and 3854-5/R.F.117-92, dated 26th August 1893? The particulars of Rs 195/- are required

(2) On what date was the clerk entertained and under what authority.

(3) It appears from the Comptroller's docket that Rs 233-5-4 were drawn in July 1894 as the pay of the temporary Excise clerk. Why was the amount drawn in a lump sum

Was

Was not pay disbursed to the clerk monthly by month? The monthly details of Rs 233-5-4 are wanted.

3. In this office docket No: 3188/1491 dated 24th January 1895, it has been clearly pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner that the post of an additional clerk on Rs 15/- having been sanctioned in Government Proceedings No: 550-1, dated 26th June 1894, was duly communicated in this office No 1/1, dated 1st July 1894, in which the Deputy Commissioner was also requested to dispense with the temporary clerk, and that as the Official was therefore entitled to draw only Rs 15/- per month from 1st July 1894, the excess payment made at Rs 5/- per mensem from 1st July 1894 should be refunded. If the Deputy Commissioner had any doubts on the point even after he received this office No: 1/1, dated 1st July 1894, the Excise Commissioner should

should have been addressed at once, but the the Deputy Commissioner applied only on 10th December 1894, for a copy of the Government Proceedings No: 550-1, dated 26th June 1894, an extract whereof referring to the clerk in question, was furnished on the 12th idem. Under such circumstances, the undersigned regrets that he cannot support the recommendation that the excess payment made to the clerk for five months at Rs 5/- per months from July to November 1894, should not be refunded; but it will be submitted to Government on receipt of the further information now called for.

(signed) P. N. Purnaiya
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Deputy

Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
Dated 27th May 1896.

No: a381

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 3676/195, dated 15th March 1896, and your Memorandum No: 3984/219, dated 9th April 1896, I have the honor to state that an Excise clerk on Rs 20/- was entertained from the 19th July 1892 in anticipation of Government Sanction and the fact was intimated to the Chief Secretary in this Office letter No. 80, dated 18th July 92, forwarded through you. The Government was pleased to sanction the arrangement for three months, pending the transfer of two hands from the Excise Commissioners Office (Vide Government Proceedings —

No.

No: 2165/R.368-92, 38 dated 10th August/92). There was long delay in these officials joining and ultimately only one clerk on Rs 25/- was sent from your office, as per your Memorandum No: 6107-18/216, dated 20th May/93. The other clerk continued therefore to be kept on and the Government sanctioned the same till the end of December/93 (Vide Government Proceedings No: 3857-5/R.117-92, dated 26th August/93). His permanent employment on Rs 15/- was finally sanctioned by Government in Proceedings No: 550-1, dated 26th June/94 with effect from 1st July/94

2. Meanwhile, on account of the Comptroller placing under objection the pay of the clerk from July/93, pending further instructions from Government, and as the matter was in correspondence between this office, the Excise Commissioner and the Comptroller the clerk remained without pay from 1st June/93 to the end of June/94 (Vide copy of Comptroller's letter No: 3291, dated 11th December/93 to the Chief Secretary). In July 1894, the

the then Deputy Commissioner Mir Shujaet ali Khan, directed on the application of the clerk that the arrears of pay due to him should be drawn as advance for the Treasury Subject to future adjustment. A sum of Rupees 233-5-4 was accordingly drawn and paid to the clerk as per details given in the margin. It is impossible to ascertain at this distance of time why the pay for June/93 was not drawn earlier, as apparently there was no objection on the part of the Comptroller to its disbursement. Out of the sum of Rs 233-5-4, however the Government has sanctioned on Proceedings No 3854-5 / R.117-92, dated 26th August/93 a charge of Rs 120, being the amount of the clerk's pay for six months from 1st July to the end of December/93 at the rate of Rs 20/- per mensem.

(19)

3. For the remaining amount of Rs 113-5-4 which was drawn as advance

× Pay for June/93 Rupees 20-0-0
Do for July to December/93 Rs 120-0-0
Sanctioned in Govt: Pro: No: 3854-5 / R.117-92 Rs 40-0-0
Do for January and February/94 Rs 63-5-4
Vacant from 1st January to 10th April/94 Rs 10-0-0
From 11th April to the end of June/94 Rs 233-5-4
Total Rs

advance, the sanction of Government is required, and I request that you will be so good as to apply for the same, in accordance with your docket No: 3188/149, dated 24th January/95.

4. As for the excess of Rs 25/- disbursed for five months from July to November/94, owing to a misconception of the Government order if it is not sanctioned by Government, the same will have to be recovered from Lambaya the then Excise clerk to whom it has been paid through the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog in which District he is employed at present. Considering the lapse of time and the fact that the clerk was paid for work done, it will not be necessary to resort to this hard alternation.

I have &c

(signed) M. Sloan-Low

Jr Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

"Copy"

M Duncan

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

× Copy for party, copy of letter No 1 dated 28th January/95 at Rs 15 per month as sanctioned by Government vide Proc: No: 550-1, dated 26th June 1894 ——— Rs: 75-0-0

Pay actually disbursed ——— Rs 100-0-0

Excess disbursed ——— Rs 25-0-0

SECTION S C

FILE No. 857-18.54

SER'AL No. 2

Read (57) A

Letter No. 4879/548 dated 29th May 1896 from the Excise Comr. in Mysore submitting a Supplementary Pay Bill for Rs 233-5-4 for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for adjustment of the ~~equivalent~~ amount drawn ~~also~~ advanced ~~by~~ to the temporary Excise Clerk employed by the Dy Comr, Bangalore District, before a permanent hand could be sanctioned. ~~and to the latter~~ on Rs 15 was ~~given~~

Order thereon :- No. 1401-2 Esc. 54 dated 5/6/96

A temporary Clerk on Rs 20 was appointed on 19th July 1892 for Excise work in the Bangalore Dy Comr's Office and, in Proceedings No. 2165-6 R. 368-92 dy 9th August 1892, Government sanctioned the employment of the Clerk for 3 months, pending transfer of 2 hands from the Excise Assistant Comr's office.

Debd 233-5-4

No 2.

4

The transfer of the required hands to the Dy Comr's office having been delayed and the Dy Comr having retained the temporary clerk, his continuance up to the end of Dec 1893 was ~~also~~ sanctioned in Govt Proceedgs

Nos 3854-5 / R.J. 117-92 dt 26th August 1893; but the temporary clerk was, ~~however~~, kept on until a permanent hand on Rs 15 was sanctioned in Proceedgs Nos 550-1 dt 26th June 1894.

Vide No 19

Vide No 27

~~The Excise Comr intimated to the Dy Comr on 1st July 1894, that the entertainment of a permanent hand on Rs 15~~

On 1st July 1894, the Excise Comr intimated to the Dy Comr the last mentioned sanction of Govt and requested him to dispense with the temporary hand on Rs 20. But, owing to some misconception of the Excise Comr's communication, the Dy Comr allowed the temporary clerk

45

clerk to continue and to draw pay at Rs 20 for the five months following, i.e. to say from July to Decr '94. Further in July '94 the Dy Comr ~~went to have drawn in a~~ ~~supplementary~~ lump sum estimate ~~of Rs~~ of Rs 1833-5-4 for payment to the lumpers clerks, such amounts representing pay due to him from 1st June 1893 to 31st July 1894, which was drawn as a lump an advance of Rs 233-5-4 for disbursement of pay due to the temporary clerk from 1st June 1893 to end of June 1894 which had not been previously disbursed owing to certain objections raised by the Compt.

4. The Supplemental Pay Bill now submitted by the Excise Comr is for in respect of the advance drawn as aforesaid and it may be held to comprise 2 periods, the one prior to, & the other commencing from 1st Janry '94. The former being included in the period sanctioned in Proceedings No.

No. 3854-5 / R.7.117-92 dt 26th August '93,

the pay for such period could have been passed without any objection, but as pointed out by the D.J Coun. of Bangalore in his letter No. A.261 dt 17th March '94 × to the Excise Coun., the Comptr. seems to have been under the impression that the temporary clerk in question had no locus standi after the appointment of a clerk on Rs20 on the Toddy Establishment of the Bangalore Dt. which was sanctioned in Govt. Proceedings No. 13672-81 / R.7.17-92 dated 16th February 1893. The retention of this clerk during the latter period, viz., from 1st Jany '94 was not sanctioned by Govt., but the D.J Coun. retained the clerk on the plea that the transfer of hands from the Asst Comrs. Office to his Office had not been completed. Govt are now pleased to accord their sanction to the retention of the temporary clerk on Rs20 upto the end of June 1894. The Supplemental pay bill is therefore sanctioned in its entirety.

× Excise Coun. to D.C. dt 25th Jan. '94

Served 2 S.E. on 24/8/94

Under the provisions contained in

Sd/-

45

5. Under these circumstances, Govt are pleased to sanction the Supplemental Pay Bill for Rs 233-5-4 on account of the pay of the temporary Excise Clerk on Rs 20, from 1st June 1893 to 30th June 1894 but to forward the same to the Comptroller for Audit and adjustment.

The ~~correction~~ excess disbursement of Rs 25 at Rs 5 per month, made to the clerk in question during the months of August to December 189 ~~should be ordered to be refunded as re-~~ commended by the Excise Comm

Chn 24/7/96. 30/7/96 1-8-96 Secy

To The Excise Comm in Mysore
Comptr to the Govt of Mysore

Issue vide Cooper
Issued (6) 4/8/96

The last para is added for affn
The Clerk's pay appears to have been disbursed at Rs: 20 for 5 months even after the orders of Govt fixing it at Rs: 15 was comd to the Dy Comrs. The Excise Comr has ordered the refund of the excess payment of Rs 25 by the Clerk. It will be hard upon the Clerk to be asked now to refund

There is no recommendation from the Excise Comr to G.O. required not therefore add Recd -

The refund of Rs 25 is recommended or opined by the Dy Comr.

3/8/96